

From: [Alperin-Sheriff, Jacob \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
Cc: [Peralta, Rene C. \(Fed\)](#); [Perlner, Ray A. \(Fed\)](#); [Jordan, Stephen P \(Fed\)](#); [Liu, Yi-Kai \(Fed\)](#); [Daniel C Smith \(daniel-c.smith@louisville.edu\)](#); [Miller, Carl A. \(Fed\)](#); [Chen, Lily \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: Re: PQC summary
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 11:40:34 AM

I am also very stuck on how to explain or defend the KEM-oriented changes. We changed key-agreement schemes to KEM, but they're not the same things at all. It should be KEM = key transport, something else = key agreement.

From: "Moody, Dustin (Fed)" <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Date: Monday, October 31, 2016 at 10:49 AM
To: "Alperin-Sheriff, Jacob (Fed)" <jacob.alperin-sheriff@nist.gov>
Cc: "Liu, Yi-Kai (Fed)" <yi-kai.liu@nist.gov>
Subject: PQC summary

Jacob,

I just wanted to check on how it's coming with a summary of the comments we received for the CFP (and a summary of our changes). The somewhat finalized CFP is attached. Let me know if you need help with anything. Thanks,

Dustin